



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,264	11/12/2001	Yeon Ho Son	2598/OJ995	9381
75	90 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER
DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue			DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2646	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/005,264	SON, YEON HO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phylesha L. Dabney	2646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o ld will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ute, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	February 2005.					
	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the response filed on 28 February 2005 in which claims 1-19 are pending. The examiner requests that the following references listed on the following Samsung patents (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,300) be submitted for review: JP 10-014195, JP 10-117472, JP 10-229596, KR 98-22244, KR 98-22659, KR 98-23812, KR 98-23815.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,487,300 and claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,466,682. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Regarding claims 1-19, Lee or An discloses a multi-function actuator having a case, a vibrating coil installed in the case, a diaphragm, voice coil fixedly installed in the bottom of the diaphragm, a vertically magnetized magnet, a yoke, a weight, and suspension (spring). Lee or An

does not teach having a notch filter (claim 1) or a high-pass filter (claim 5). However, Ray Alden ("Advanced Speaker Systems", pages 71-86) teaches that it is known to include filters, such as high pass, low pass, notch (specific type of bandpass) which utilize resistors, capacitors, and inductors in speaker actuating circuits for separating the frequency response into different frequency levels, i.e. woofer level, tweeter level, or midrange level. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a filters, such as notch or high pass, in the invention of Lee or An for providing a frequency separation circuit (cross-over network) to the speaker system.

Furthermore, the combination of Lee or An with Alden does not teach the filter composed of resistor(s), capacitor(s), and/or inductor(s) in series, parallel, T-type, L-type, or  $\pi$ -type configurations. However, the examiner takes official notice that it is known in electronic theory to implement filter design in any of the above configurations for damping resonance peaks produced at the different frequency levels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any of the above filter configurations in the invention of Lee or An with Alden, to damp desired resonance peaks.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L. Dabney whose telephone number is 571-272-7494.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-4 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,264

Art Unit: 2646

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 21<del>, 20</del>05

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED